

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 02/05/2002 3610-10-1 8492 10/068,365 **David James** 7590 06/27/2003 8933 **DUANE MORRIS, LLP EXAMINER** ATTN: WILLIAM H. MURRAY OGDEN JR, NECHOLUS

ATTN: WILLIAM H. MURRAY ONE LIBERTY PLACE 1650 MARKET STREET PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-7396

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1751

DATE MAILED: 06/27/2003

4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			N
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	10/068,365	JAMES, DAVID	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Necholus Ogden	1751	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a y within the statutory minimum of thi will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO . cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 F</u>	<u> ebruary 2002</u> .		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under	ance except for formal ma	atters, prosecution as to the merits is .D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims	Ex parto Quayro, 1000 0	, 100 0.01 2.01	
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application	1.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	•	
Application Papers	_		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		the Everniner	
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acception and acception acception to the state of the state		•	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re		alsapproved by the Brahmish	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:			
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.	•	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/581,578.			
 Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International But 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest			
 a) The translation of the foreign language pro 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest 			
Attachment(s)	,		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3 	5) Notice o	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)

Art Unit: 1751

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation

Art Unit: 1751

under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 5. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Edwards et al (5,523,081).

Edwards et al disclose a shaving composition comprising stearic acid, palmitic acid, lauric acid; triethanolamine, silicone dimethicone copolyol; potassium hydroxide, and water (examples 3, and claims). Edwards et al further teach that said compositions have an average particle size of 25 to 50 nm and viscosity in the range of 10,000 to 30,000 cps (see claim 1 and col. 5, lines 18-30).

Edwards et al do not specifically teach each of the dependent claims in an example, however, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to

Art Unit: 1751

combine the components as exemplified and the teachings in the disclosure of Edwards et al to exemplify the claimed invention.

2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by European Patent (0709 451).

EP '451 disclose and exemplify at least 20% by weight of unsaturated fatty acid; and at least 30% by weight of a fatty acid having 16 or more carbon atoms; and a silicone suds suppresser having trimethylsilyl endblocking units. (see examples and claims).

As this reference teaches all of the instantly required it is considered anticipatory.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Necholus Ogden whose telephone number is 703-308-3732. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yogendra N. Gupta can be reached on 703-308-4708. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Art Unit: 1751

Necholus Ogden Primary Examiner Art Unit 1751

no June 25, 2003